IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2231 OF 2006

Shri. Kifayat Khan Sifat Khan
and Ors. ... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Mah. and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Vipin Kamdi for Petitioner.

Mr. M. More, A.G.P. for R. No. 1.

Mr. H.S. Anand for R. No. 3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: OCTOBER 03, 2006

P.C.:

. It is the case of the Petitioner that they have filed their claim before the S.R.A. Respondent No. 2 for adjudication. That has yet to be decided. The Respondent No. 3 is the builder. The society is not made a party. The counsel for Respondent No. 3 points out that in fact the Petitioners were heard as far back as 2004 but their claim have not yet been decided.

. Considering the above controversy, we direct Respondent No.2 after hearing the Petitioners society and Respondent No. 3 to decide the claim of the Petitioners within sixteen weeks from today and if found eligible, then to direct the admission of the members of the society and to give them all

consequential benefits.

. With the above directions, Petition disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**